Dated 12 - 1 - 22.

| To whom sent | | Date | Initial. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Given for copying | | |
| | Copied | | |
| | Examined | | |
| | Signed | | |
| | Given for despatch | | |
| | Despatched | 10.1.22 | |
| | Indexed | | |
| Pages in the file | To be destroyed on | | |

(SUBJECT.)

Jurisdiction - (S. Kanara) - Mulky Dy Tahsildar - Abolition Sanctd - G. O. Comnd.

* Not to be filled in the case of permanent records.

# 1922.

G.I. 86(a)

# Department of Land Rev

**OFFICE** Board of Rev.

**STATION** Madras

Mis No. 58

Dated 12 — 1 — 22.

| To whom sent | | Date | Initial. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Given for copying | | |
| | Copied | | |
| | Examined | | |
| | Signed | | |
| | Given for despatch | | |
| | Despatched | 10.1.22 | |
| | Indexed | | |
| Pages in the file | To be destroyed on | | |

(SUBJECT.)

Jurisdiction - (S. Kanara) — Mulky Dy Tahsildar — Abolition Sanc'd — G. O. Comm'd.

* Not to be filled in the case of permanent records.

Ref. 2182--21--V--2. South Kanara Collector's Office,
Camp Kasaragode, 8th October '21.

A4168.

From

B. H. Ellis, Esq., I.C.S.,
Collector of South Kanara.

To

The Secretary to the Board,
Land Revenue, M a d r a s.

---

Retrenchment:- Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office--abolition--proposals submitted.

---

I beg to report that there is a strong case for the abolition of the Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office which was --- created in 1917 as per sanction conveyed in G. O. No. 2458 Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916, communicated with B. P. (K) No. 1954 Mis. dated 18th November 1916. I have investigated the matter very carefully and find that there is not full --- time work for a Dy. Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate in the ---- division. With this opinion the Divisional Officer agrees. I shall try to show that the office can now be abolished --- without throwing unduly excessive work upon the Tahsildar.

2. The object of forming the new Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's division is explained in the Board's reference to Govern- 2245(K). ment No. 1665-15-4 dated 15th August 1916.

The number of cases disposed of by the Sub Magistrates of Mulky and Mangalore since 1917 are as follows:--

| | 1917. | 1918. | 1919. | 1920. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| M u l k y. | 64 | 53 | 47 | 56 |
| Mangalore. | 400 | 343 | 420 | 505 |
| | 464 | 396 | 467 | 561 |

It will be seen from the above that if the Mulky --- office is abolished, the Stationary Sub Magistrate of --- Mangalore to whom the Magisterial work of Mulky Division will have to be transferred will not have more than 561 or say 570 cases. The Board has already estimated that a --

Ref. 2182--21--V--2. South Kanara Collector's Office,

Camp Kasaragode, 8th October '21.

A4168.

From

R. H. Ellis, Esq., I.C.S.,

Collector of South Kanara.

The Secretary to the Board,

Land Revenue, M a d r a s.

---

Retrenchment:- Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office--abolition--proposals submitted.

I beg to report that there is a strong case for the abolition of the Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office which was --- created in 1917 as per sanction conveyed in G. O. No. 2458 Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916, communicated with B. P. No. 1954 Mis. dated 18th November 1916. (K) I have investigated the matter very carefully and find that there is not full --- time work for a Dy. Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate in the ---- division. With this opinion the Divisional Officer agrees. I shall try to show that the office can now be abolished --- without throwing unduly excessive work upon the Tahsildar.

2. The object of forming the new Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's division is explained in the Board's reference to Government No. 1665-15-4 dated 15th August 1916. R245(K).

The number of cases disposed of by the Sub Magistrates of Mulky and Mangalore since 1917 are as follows:--

| | 1917. | 1918. | 1919. | 1920. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mulky. | 64 | 53 | 47 | 56 |
| Mangalore. | 400 | 343 | 420 | 505 |
| | 464 | 396 | 467 | 561 |

It will be seen from the above that if the Mulky --- office is abolished, the Stationary Sub Magistrate of --- Mangalore to whom the Magisterial work of Mulky Division will have to be transferred will not have more than 561 or say 570 cases. The Board has already estimated that a --

file of 584 cases will not be too heavy for a full time --- Magistrate. Hence it cannot be said that the work of the Sub Magistrate, Mangalore will become unwieldy if the 46 --- villages forming the Mulky Division were given to him.

The Board also remarked that the Magisterial work --- of Mulky Dy. Tahsildar would be distinctly light but it justi-fied the creation of the office on account of the heavy --- revenue work of the coast villages proposed to be included --- in Mulky Division which would give relief to the Mangalore --- Tahsildar who had heavy work ~~there~~ here. A statement showing the number of darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases and of --- miscellaneous papers disposed of in the offices of the Tahsil-dar of Mangalore and the Dy. Tahsildar of Buntwal from 1913 to 1920 and in the office of the Dy. Tahsildar of Mulky from --- 1917 to 1920 is enclosed. This statement will show that in the offices of the Tahsildar, Mangalore, and the Dy. Tahsildar, Buntwal, the darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases have --- materially decreased while there is a slight decrease in the -- number of miscellaneous papers. As regards Mulky office it -- cannot be said of course that the darkhast work has gone down,- but encroachment cases have materially decreased from 170 to 48. Kudtala cases have also decreased from 542 to 402, while the --- number of miscellaneous papers have risen from 3,000 to 3,700. Even if the entire work of the Mulky office is now given to the Tahsildar, the latter's work will be much lighter than it was in 1915, the year in which the creation of Mulky office was pro-posed by the Collector, as will be seen from the figures given in the margin. The darkhast and encroachment work of the -- two offices in 1920 was less than half of what it was in 1915. in the Tahsil-dar's office, -- although the other items of work remained almost-- the same. It

| | Darkhast. | Kudtala. | Encroachment. | Miscellaneous papers |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1915.** | | | | |
| Tahsildar. | 2542 | 1280 | 396 | 16894 |
| **1920.** | | | | |
| Tahsildar. | 712 | 918 | 122 | 13719 |
| Mulki. | 430 | 402 | 48 | 3737 |
| | 1112 | 1320 | 170 | 16456 |

was the throwing open of land to darkhast that caused --- the sudden increase of work. The rush is now over ---- and already the Tahsildar's darkhast work plus Mulky is considerably less than before the division. It is --- certain that the work will decrease still further in the future and when it reaches its permanent level it is --- likely to be considerably less than at present. I also propose that the 12 villages of the Tahsildar's jurisdiction mentioned in the margin may be transferred to -- the Buntwal Division for both revenue and magisterial -- work. This will give relief to the Stationary Sub Magistrate, Mangalore and the Tahsildar. At --- present Buntwal Division has 50 villages. Before the creation of Mulky office it had 55 villages. The 12 villages now proposed for transfer to Buntwal include the 5 --- villages which were in the Buntwal Division before 1917. If the proposal is approved the Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar will therefore -- have only 7 villages more than he had before 1917. At present the revenue work in --- Buntwal Division is on the whole lighter than in Mulki, and the magisterial work has also appreciably decreased. In 1915 Buntwal had 155 cases. In 1920 it had only -- 105. It would at first seem that there is a good case for abolishing Buntwal instead of Mulky. Mulky --- would also be a more healthy head-quarters. But on the other hand the interior villages require a resident --- officer and also it seems to me impossible to arrange --- conveniently for the magisterial jurisdiction over the 29 villages of Karkal Taluk now under Buntwal. Since 1915 the Magisterial and darkhast work in Buntwal Division -- having materially gone down, the addition of the 12 --- villages to it will not therefore make it heavy.

1. Tenka Bellur.
2. Amunaje.
3. Pudu.
4. Mermajal.
5. Phajir.
6. Chelur.
7. Balepuni.
8. Kurnad.
9. Badagabelluru.
10. Kariangala.
11. Kodamannu.
12. Kairangala.

If the proposals are approved the Tahsildar will have 118 villages which is almost the same as the Udipi

Tahsildar's charge (115) and less than that of the Tahsildar of Uppinangady (132).

I propose this abolition particularly in view of the fact that an expensive office is just about to be -- built at Mulky. Once the office is built we stand --- committed to the continuation of an officer whose work is already insufficient to give him full employment ---- and the case will as I think I have demonstrated above be considerably worse a few years hence when the ---- number of darkhasts has fallen to a normal level. As the Government have already negatived the postponement of the construction of the Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office vide B. P. No. 2186 Routine dated 20th Septr. 1921, I urge that the Board may be pleased to lay before the --- Government the above case at an early date and move --- Government for the postponement of the building work until the question of the abolition of the office is finally -- settled. I have apprised the Superintending Engineer of the submission of the above proposals to the Board.

file in D.S.O Sean - will be put up as soon as available - Since put up (X)

For Collector.

12.10.21 12.10.21

## Disposals.

| Name of office. | Darkhast cases. | Kudtala cases. | Encroachment cases. | Miscellaneous papers. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1913. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk office. ... | 3415 | 549 | 447 | 14795 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 813 | 238 | 97 | 5197 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | | | | |
| | | 1914. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 3147 | 882 | 250 | 15294 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 744 | 139 | 72 | 5050 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | | | | |
| | | 1915. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 2242 | 1280 | 396 | 16894 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 558 | 251 | 53 | 4553 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | | | | |
| | | 1916. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 1963 | 1312 | 356 | 14760 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 492 | 286 | 51 | 4456 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | | | | |
| | | 1917. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 1320 | 1210 | 188 | 14026 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 351 | 269 | 47 | 4420 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 485 | 542 | 170 | 3018 |
| | | 1918. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 1105 | 1100 | 123 | 13701 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 257 | 292 | 27 | 4298 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 507 | 511 | 138 | 3509 |
| | | 1919. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 850 | 1044 | 124 | 13644 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 168 | 278 | 9 | 4111 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mulky Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 410 | 569 | 54 | 3785 |
| | | 1920. | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 712 | 918 | 122 | 13719 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's Office. ... | 288 | 344 | 16 | 4418 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 430 | 402 | 48 | 3737 |
| | | 1921.(First half year.) | | |
| Mangalore Taluk Office. ... | 308 | 362 | 46 | 5549 |
| Buntwal Dy. Tahsildar's office. .. | 113 | 99 | 6 | 2185 |
| Mulki Dy. Tahsildar's office. ... | 117 | 141 | 23 | 1555 |

DEPT

Appendix 12 — X

For Collector.

12.10.21

C.F. 20—4-2-14.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

Office.

**Enclosures.**

Despatch No. 12-10

When given to fair-copying 1/XI.

Fair-copied by

Fair copy compared by

When despatched

Register No. A 4168/21 dated ——— A with encl.

From

*Subject.*

Jurisdiction (S. Canara) —
Mulky Dy Sahs office — Abolition
— Proposals Subd.

To

THE

Refce A 4168 — 21 - 1 dy 31. 10. 24

Submitted to Govt.

2. The Board considers that there is not sufficient work for a Dy Sahs ~~Majority of the post is contributed~~ Mr. Ellis who knows the district well has made out a strong case for the abolition of this post and the Board supports his proposal :—

3. Draft notifications giving effect to the proposals are subd.

Majority of the Dy Sahs in the post

(See next page)

Draft Cos 3/11

To

Secy to Govt R & D with two draft notifications in duplicate.

Pay. (See next page)

31.10.24

31.10.24

31.10.24 Asst

Notifications. C/

(1)

With effect from 1st January 1922, the Bulky of Tahsildar's division in the Mangalore Tk of the S. Kanara Dt comprising the undermentioned villages will be abolished and the villages transferred to the jurisdiction of the Tahr of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub magistrate Mangalore for revenue & magisterial purposes respectively.

[HE villages in notifn I at p 48 K].

II.

With effect from 1st January 1922 the undermentioned villages of Mangalore Tk, S. Kanara Dt which are now under the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub magistrate Mangalore for revenue & magisterial purposes respectively will be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Dy Tahr & Sub magistrate of Buntwal

(HE 12 villages at margin p 30 of)

Bdl
31.10 at Mdrs
3/10/22

Sectt. 11-26 (a)—29,692—11-2-21.

A 4168/21
Refer 31.10.21 } Q

BOARD OF REVENUE
Received 11 JAN 1922 192
LAND REVENUE DEPT.
MADRAS

Registered A 256 — A 192

Abstract.

Jurisdiction - (South Kanara)- Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division abolition- sanctioned. G.O. Comd.
30 mis 58 & 12-1-22

Communicated to the Coll. of S. Kanara.

To The Coll of S. Kanara

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. BSW 11.1.22 DR 12.1.22

G.O. No. P. 80 , dated 10th January 1922.

ENCLOSURE
No.

READ the following papers:—

G.O.No.2458, dated 2-11-1916.

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Ref.No.A.4168-21, dated 31st October 1921.

---

ORDER.

The Government approve the proposals of the Board of Revenue for the abolition of the Mulki deputy tahsildar's division in the Mangalore taluk of the South Kanara district. The notifications submitted by the Board giving effect to the consequential redistribution of villages will be published in the Fort St.George and in the South Kanara district gazettes.

2. The establishment specified in the margin will be abolished with effect from 15th February 1922 and the existing incumbents shpuld as far as possible be provided for in permanent vacancies.

| |
|---|
| one deputy tahsildar on Rs.125 |
| one clerk on Rs.25 |
| two clerks on Rs.20 each. |
| one attender on Rs.8 |
| two peons on Rs.7 each |

3. Orders will issue in the Public Works Department regarding the abolition of the scheme for constructing a new deputy tahsildar's office at Mulki.

G.O.No.99 W & 27-1-22 (Rev Dpt-48 &c.
3-2-22) Mu

E-Boll.

4. This sanction has been registered as No.368 of 1921-22.

(By Order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Legh,

Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.
,, Judicial department.
,, Public department.
,, Finance department.
,, Local Self Government Department.
,, Public Works Department, with notes.
,, Superintendent, GovernmentPress, with notifications for publication.

---

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue

(By Order)

D Thethravaswamikkal
Superintendent. 10.1.22

TKG/10-1-22.

C.F. 19(a)—1-14.

Jurisdiction (S. Kanara) - Mulky Dy Tahr's office
~~Page No.~~
- Abolition - Proposals.
Read A 4168/21.

To Secy.

The Collr.'s letter is subd. for orders.

Statistics in the form given in para 3 of the Bd.'s Ref. to Govt. in G.O.No.2458, Rev., dated 2-10-16 have not been furnished. Further report need not be called for. Particulars as far as available are given in the table below:-

| | Present No. of villages. | Average for 4 years. (1917-20) Darkhasts. | Transfers. | Encroachments. | Mis. papers. | Magl. cases. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Tahr. of Mangalore | 84 | 997 | 1069 | 139 | 13772 | ... |
| 2. Dy. Tahr. Bantwal (29 of Korkal for magistl only) | 50 + | 266 | 296 | 25 | 4312 | 105 (in 1920) |
| 3. Dy. Tahr. Mulki. | 46 | 458 | 506 | 103 | 3512 | 55 (average for 4 yrs.) |
| 4. S.S.Magte. Mangalore | 84 | .. | .. | .. | .. | 417 (average of 4 yrs |

According to the Collr.'s proposal, the Tahr.'s charge will include 118 villages and that of the Dy. Tahr. Bantwal 62 villages. The Nos. in the two charges prior to the creation of Mulki division with effect from 1st January 1917 were 125 and 55.

The Collr. has not furnished figures showing the No. of darkhasts &c. in Mangalore taluk office and Bantwal Dy. Tahr.'s office if 12 villages are transferred from the former to the latter. Including these twelve villages, the Tahr. will have 1455 darkhasts 1575 transfers, 242 encroachment cases and 17284 mis papers making a total of 20556 agst. 19496, the average of 4 yrs. prior to the creation of Mulki Dy. Tahr.'s charge (1913-1916), made up of 2692 darkhasts, 1006 transfers, 362 encroachment cases and 15436 mis. papers. The darkhast work even after abolition of Mulki will be about 1/2 of the former work. Work is decreasing gradually and if 12 villages are transferred to Bantwal

the darkhast work will be less than 1/2 of the former work. The small increase under Mis. papers must also decrease as with the abolition of an office, some correspondence must cease. On the whole, the Tahr.'s work will not be heavy and as the Dy. Tahr. has not sufficient work, Revenue or magisterial, the Collr.'s proposal to abolish the office may be supported.

The Collr. has shown that the increase of work to the Sty. Sub Magistrate, Mangalore and to the Dy. Tahr. Magistrate Bantwal will not be considerable.

Proposals with draft notification may be submitted to Govt.

Certainly
[illegible]

The Sub Dist Deputy Tahsildars were created
1917
It is a superfluous by Govt to build
an expensive building here.
The collector therefore advocates the abolition
of the post as there is not sufficient
work to justify its retention which Govt
will be committed to if the office is built —
He makes out a very good case.

The Sty. Sub Magistrate under this proposal will
have an excessive [illegible] which is nothing compared with the [illegible] of some
Sub magistrates in Deltaic Districts.

The work of the Tahsildar at Bantwal
Deputy Tahr will only be increased to
at the moment
a little extent & the collector

Quite an easy one for a S.S.M.

C.F. 19—1-14.

13



the people that work of encroachments
Lockhats etc will decrease gradually in
the near future.

When retrenchment is going on every-
where & some posts which can ill be
spared are being abolished, it seems
useless to embark on Office & Quarter
building when there is insufficient
work for the Officers

The Estimate of Rs. 8000 may be
submitted to Govt with the Board's
support

Yes
I.T.
28 x 24

Lee
28.10.4

Ellis knows the district well
and his opinions carry weight.

I.T. 29/x

To Secy

Draft Submd.

Lee
31.10.4

Bor
29.10.24
31/10/24

Board's Refer A 4168-21-1 & 31-10-21.
A.256/22

(S)
10

Asst Secy

Draft Submd

Bor
11.1.25
12.1.25

[illegible]

A929

15

16 FEB 1922 LAND REV MADRAS

# Government of Madras

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

I. A.

## G.O. No. 80, 10th January 1922

**Jurisdiction**

(South Kanara)—Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division—Abolition—Sanctioned.

READ—the following papers :—

I

**G.O. No. 2458, Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916.**

II

**Reference from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), A. No. 4168/21-1, dated 31st October 1921.**

L. T. HARRIS, Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

*Read*—the following paper :—

*Letter*—from R. H. ELLIS, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara.
*To*—the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue.
*Dated*—the 8th October 1921.
*No.*—Ref. 2182/21-V-2.

I beg to report that there is a strong case for the abolition of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office which was created in 1917 as per sanction conveyed in G.O. No. 2458, Revenue, dated 2nd November 1916, communicated with B.P. No. 1954, Miscellaneous, dated 18th November 1916. I have investigated the matter very carefully and find that there is not full-time work for a Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate in the division. With this opinion the divisional officer agrees. I shall try to show that the office can now be abolished without throwing unduly excessive work upon the Tahsildar.

2. The object of forming the new Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division is explained in the Board's Reference to Government No. 1665-15-4, dated 15th August 1916.

The number of cases disposed of by the Sub-Magistrates of Mulki and Mangalore since 1917 are as follows :—

| | 1917. | 1918. | 1919. | 1920. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mulki .. .. .. .. .. .. | 64 | 53 | 47 | 56 |
| Mangalore .. .. .. .. .. .. | 400 | 343 | 420 | 505 |
| Total .. | 464 | 396 | 467 | 561 |

It will be seen from the above that if the Mulki office is abolished, the Stationary Sub-Magistrate of Mangalore to whom the magisterial work of Mulki division will have to be transferred will not have more than 561 or say 570 cases. The Board has already estimated that a file of 584 cases will not be too heavy for a full-time Magistrate. Hence it cannot be said that the work of the Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, will become unwieldy if the 46 villages forming the Mulki division were given to him.

The Board also remarked that the magisterial work of Mulki Deputy Tahsildar would be distinctly light but it justified the creation of the office on account of the heavy revenue work of the coast villages proposed to be included in Mulki division which would give relief to the Mangalore Tahsildar who had heavy work then. A statement showing the number of darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases and of miscellaneous papers disposed of in the offices of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Deputy Tahsildar of Buntwal from 1913 to 1920 and in the office of the Deputy

Tahsildar of Mulki from 1917 to 1920 is enclosed. This statement will show that in the offices of the Tahsildar, Mangalore, and the Deputy Tahsildar, Buntwal, the darkhast, kudtala and encroachment cases have materially decreased while there is a slight decrease in the number of miscellaneous papers. As regards Mulki office it cannot be said of course that the darkhast work has gone down, but encroachment cases have materially decreased from 170 to 48. Kudtala cases have also decreased from 542 to 402, while the number of miscellaneous papers have risen from 3,000 to 3,700. Even if the entire work of the Mulki office is now given to the Tahsildar, the latter's work will be much lighter than it was in 1915, the year in which the creation of Mulki office was proposed by the Collector as will be seen from the figures given in the margin. The darkhast and encroachment work of the two offices in 1920 was less than half of what it was in 1915 in the Tahsildar's office, although the other items of work remained almost the same. It was the throwing open of land to darkhast that caused the sudden increase of work. The rush is now over and already the Tahsildar's darkhast work plus Mulki is considerably less than before the division. It is certain that the work will decrease still further in the future and when it reaches its permanent level it is likely to be considerably less than at present. I also propose that the 12 villages of the Tahsildar's jurisdiction mentioned in the margin may be transferred to the Buntwal division for both revenue and magisterial work. This will give relief to the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, and the Tahsildar. At present Buntwal division has 50 villages. Before the creation of Mulki office it had 55 villages. The 12 villages now proposed for transfer to Buntwal include the five villages which were in the Buntwal division before 1917. If the proposal is approved, the Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar will therefore have only seven villages more than he had before 1917. At present the revenue work in Buntwal division is on the whole lighter than in Mulki, and the magisterial work has also appreciably decreased. In 1915 Buntwal had 155 cases. In 1920 it had only 105. It would at first seem that there is a good case for abolishing Buntwal instead of Mulki. Mulki would also be a more healthy head-quarters. But on the other hand the interior villages require a resident officer and also it seems to me impossible to arrange conveniently for the magisterial jurisdiction over the 29 villages of Karkal taluk now under Buntwal. Since 1915 the magisterial and darkhast work in Buntwal division having materially gone down, the addition of the 12 villages to it will not therefore make it heavy.

| | Darkhast. | Kudtala. | Encroachment. | Miscellaneous papers. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1915. | | | | |
| Tahsildar .. .. .. | 2,542 | 1,280 | 396 | 16,894 |
| 1920. | | | | |
| Tahsildar .. .. .. | 712 | 918 | 122 | 13,719 |
| Mulki .. .. .. | 430 | 402 | 48 | 3,737 |
| Total .. | 1,142 | 1,320 | 170 | 17,456 |

1. Tenka Bellur.
2. Amunaje
3. Pudu.
4. Mermajal.
5. Phajir.
6. Chelur.
7. Balepuni.
8. Kurnad.
9. Badagabelluru.
10. Kariangala.
11. Kodamannu.
12. Kairangala.

If the proposals are approved the Tahsildar will have 118 villages which is almost the same as the Udipi Tahsildar's charge (115) and less than that of the Tahsildar of Uppinangadi (132).

I propose this abolition particularly in view of the fact that an expensive office is just about to be built at Mulki. Once the office is built we stand committed to the continuation of an officer whose work is already insufficient to give him full employment and the case will as I think I have demonstrated above be considerably worse a few years hence when the number of darkhasts has fallen to a normal level. As the Government have already negatived the postponement of the construction of the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's office—vide B.P. No. 2186, Routine, dated 20th September 1921—I urge that the Board may be pleased to lay before the Government the above case at an early date and move Government for the postponement of the building work until the question of the abolition of the office is finally settled. I have apprised the Superintending Engineer of the submission of the above proposals to the Board.

*Reference—No. A.* 4168-21-1, *dated* 31*st October* 1921.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board considers that there is not sufficient work for a Deputy Tahsildar to justify the retention of the post. Mr. Ellis who knows the district well has made out a strong case for the abolition of this office and the Board supports his proposal.

3. Draft notifications giving effect to the proposals are submitted.

H. R. Uzielli,
*Secretary.*

To the Secretary to Government Revenue Department with two draft notifications in duplicate.

Enclosures

NOTIFICATIONS.

(1)

With effect from 15th February 1922, the Mulki Deputy Tahsildar's division in the Mangalore taluk of the South Kanara district comprising the undermentioned villages will be abolished and the villages transferred to the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, for revenue and magisterial purposes respectively :—

1. Hejmadi.
2. Bappanad.
3. Karnad.
4. Chitrap.
5. Padupanambur.
6. Pavanje.
7. Haleangadi.
8. Bellairu.
9. Thokur.
10. Koikude.
11. Panja.
12. Kilenjar.
13. Attur.
14. Kemral.
15. Mennabettu.
16. Nadugodu.
17. Kondemula.
20. Aikala.
21. Elinje.
22. Talepadi.
23. Elthur.
24. Shimantur.
25. Kilpadi.
26. Manampadi.
27. Athikaribettu.
28. Karnire.
29. Kavatar.
30. Kollur.
31. Balkunje.
32. Ulepady.
33. Inna.
34. Mulladka.
35. Mundkur.
36. Perumude.
56. Thenkayekkar.
57. Badagayekkar.
58. Delanthabettu.
59. Kuthethur.
60 Surinje.
61. Madya.
62. Chellairu.
63. Sashitlu.
64. Surathkal.
65. Iddya.
66. Hosbettu.
80. Bajape.

(2)

With effect from 15th February 1922, the undermentioned villages of Mangalore taluk, South Kanara district, which are now under the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar of Mangalore and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Mangalore, for revenue and magisterial purposes respectively will be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Buntwal :—

1. Tenka Bellur.
2. Amunaje.
3. Pudu.
4. Mermajal.
5. Phajir.
6. Chelur.
7. Balepuni.
8. Kurnad.
9. Badagabelluru.
10. Kariangala.
11. Kodamannu.
12. Kairangala.

(3)

Disposals.

| Name of office. | Darkhast cases. | Kudfala cases. | Encroachment cases. | Miscellaneous papers. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1913. | | | | |
| Mangalore Taluk office | 3,415 | 549 | 447 | 14,795 |
| Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office | 813 | 238 | 97 | 5,197 |
| Mulki do. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1914. | | | | |
| Mangalore Taluk office | 3,147 | 882 | 250 | 15,294 |
| Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office | 744 | 139 | 72 | 5,050 |
| Mulki do. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1915. | | | | |
| Mangalore Taluk office | 2,242 | 1,280 | 396 | 16,894 |
| Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office | 558 | 251 | 53 | 4,553 |
| Mulki do. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 1916. | | | | |
| Mangalore Taluk office | 1,963 | 1,312 | 356 | 14,760 |
| Buntwal Deputy Tahsildar's office | 492 | 286 | 51 | 4,456 |
| Mulki do. | .. | .. | .. | .. |